

**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.035269



CWP-24288-2021

Date of Decision:12.03.2025

SURINDER KUMAR SAGGU

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate for respondent no.19.
Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for respondent no.21.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The present Writ Petition has been filed under 226/227 of Constitution of India for directing the official respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty and property of the petitioner and his family members from the private respondents; further directions to complete the proceedings of SIT constituted on 02.04.2021 vide communication (Annexure P-21), to decide the representations and legal notice.

2. Upon notice, reply in the shape of affidavit dated 05.07.2023 of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Special Branch, Ludhiana, Additional Charge as ACP (South), Ludhiana on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 4 has already been filed and taken on record and it speaks about the conclusion of the enquiry by the SIT.

3. In view of the above, prayer made by the petitioner has already been satisfied so far as the issue of protection of life and liberty is concerned, the mandamus is upon the official respondents to examine the



threat perception to the petitioner, if any, in view of the norms and guidelines under State Security Policy, 2013, as well as SOP adopted by Director General of Punjab, on 23.05.2024 for granting police protection to a private individual on the ground of threat perception.

4. Learned State counsel is directed to hand over a copy of the enquiry report so conducted by the SIT, within a period of four weeks from today.

5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since his grievance has been redressed, he does not wish to press the present petition at this stage with liberty to challenge the report of SIT, if grievance still survives.

6. **Dismissed as not pressed** with the liberty as prayed for.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

12.03.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No