



CWP-9909-2018

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CWP-9909-2018

Date of decision: 28.04.2025

Kewal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Navneet Jindal, Advocate, for
Mr. Navin Upadhyay, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B. S. Kanwar, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Ram Avtar, Advocate, for respondents No.4 and 5.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to take appropriate necessary action against respondent No.4 for not reimbursing the medical bill and for granting all benefits as given to similarly situated persons.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 states that an amount of Rs.1.39/- Lakhs as per package rate has already been paid to the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that some amount is required to be paid by the State to which he shall submit a representation which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.



CWP-9909-2018

2

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents No.1 to 3. that in case petitioner submits a representation within a period of 4 weeks, the same shall be decided, within a period of 4 months thereafter, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

28.04.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No