

2025:PHHC:123306

CRM-M-38396-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.09.2025.

...Petitioner.

...Respondents.

● ● ● ● ● ● ●

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Brief facts of this case are that the present FIR was registered on the basis of complaint filed by Bharat Singh stating therein that he and his friends went to Miraz Club, Sector 29, Gurugram, for a party. On 12.06.2025, at about 2.20 A.M., when they were leaving the said club, Manager and Bouncers of the said club, gave beatings to them with punches, dandas, kicks, beer bottles and iron Queue Managers. Due to this, the complainant suffered injury on his leg and his friends were admitted in Narayan Hospital. The complainant ascertained the names of the bouncers, which were revealed as Anil, Ajay, Manni, Ankit, Rohit, Mainish and name of Manager of the Club, was revealed as Vishal. Accordingly, FIR in question was registered against the petitioner and other persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The name of the petitioner is reflected in the FIR, but no specific attribution has been made to the petitioner qua causing of injuries and he is ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed.

Notice was issued in this case on 21.07.2025 and status report was called from the State, which was filed in the Court on 19.08.2025 and the same is taken on record.

Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the petition while contending that the weapon of offence is yet to be recovered from the petitioner and he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Heard.

As per the allegations, the petitioner in league with other co-accused assaulted the complainant and other victims using iron rods, lathis, dandas, kicks, punches, beer bottles and multiple injuries were caused to them. In this case, there are three injured persons and all the injuries on person of Nitin Pandey have been declared grievous in nature. Nand Kishore also suffered injuries on vital parts of the body including head injury.

Co-accused Manish @ Manni also spoke about complicity of the petitioner in his disclosure statement. The investigation is at the nascent stage and weapon of offence is yet to be recovered from the petitioner. So, keeping in view the facts and circumstances in the present case and for conducting of investigation in fair and effective manner and to take it to its logical end, no ground is made for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed. However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

09.09.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No