



105+208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-2653-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 06.11.2025

Manisha Nehra

...Petitioner

Versus

S.S. Dhaka

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Anuj Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)**CM-18716-CII-2025**

Application for placing on record copy of the writ petition bearing CWP No.22762-2022, titled as Manisha Nehra Vs. Lal Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Science (LUVAS), Hisar and others' as Annexure P-3 is allowed as prayed for, subject to just exceptions.

Main case

1. The instant petition has been filed for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for alleged willful disobedience of the interim order dated 30.09.2022 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Writ Court in CWP-22762-2022.

2. Reply already filed by way of affidavit dated 18.12.2023 of the respondent is taken on record. A copy thereof has already been supplied to the opposite side. Registry to tag the same at appropriate



3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

4. As per order dated 30.09.2022, the appointment of respondent No.3 was ordered to be stayed till the next date of hearing, however, it has been categorically submitted in the aforesaid reply that the petitioner had obtained the *ex parte* interim order dated 30.09.2022 without disclosing the fact that respondent No.3 (in the writ petition) had already joined the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electrical) about 10 months ago, i.e. on 29.11.2021, in pursuance of the appointment letter dated 02.11.2021 (Annexure R-1). A copy each of the joining report dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure R-2) and acceptance report dated 30.11.2021 (Anexure R-3) are also appended with the affidavit. It has also been contended that respondent No.3 (in the writ petition) had already become a member of the service of the University consequent upon his joining. It is further alleged that in the written statement filed to the writ petition on 18.11.2022, prayer for vacation of the aforesaid *ex parte* interim order was also made.

5. Although, the appointment order of respondent No.3 (in the writ petition) was challenged in the writ petition (Annexure P-3), but there is nothing in the pleadings to show that respondent No.3 had already joined much prior to the filing of the said writ petition.

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances, at this stage, initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent are not called for, as such, present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to seek appropriate rectification of the interim order passed by the Writ Court on 30.09.2022, if so advised.



7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

06.11.2025
atulsethi

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No