



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20086-2025

Date of Decision: 18.07.2025

PATTU

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to decide her representations dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) and 12.06.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. The petitioner is claiming that she is widow of late Armurgam. She had solemnized marriage with Armurgam in accordance with Hindu Rites. He was working with respondent No.2. He passed away on 19.08.2024. She claimed service benefit of her husband. There is another lady namely Pawnamma who is claiming that she is wife of late Armurgam.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent may be directed to decide her representations.

4. Learned State counsel submits that Competent Authority would consider case of petitioner and do the needful within eight weeks



from today.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of. The respondent would decide claim of petitioner without being influenced by this order and applying applicable Rules and Regulations.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.07.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No