



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-20089-2025

Date of Decision : July 18, 2025

**Ritika Yadav through her father & natural guardian Sh. Suresh Kumar
.. Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently the School with whom the security was deposited could not be impleaded as party in the present writ petition hence, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars.

2. Ordered accordingly.

July 18, 2025

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No