



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-45761-2024

Date of decision: 08.09.2005

Gursiwaran Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Harpreet Singh Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3-accused vide order dated 15.03.2023 and 21.03.2023 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively) passed by learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur, in case FIR No.0033 dated 24.02.2023 under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Zira, District Ferozepur.

2. On being pointedly asked as to why the petitioner-complainant was seeking cancellation of bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3-accused, it has been submitted that although there has been no specific instance of the accused misusing the concession of bail or breaching any conditions, however, there is a genuine apprehension that like co-accused Gulshanpreet Kaur who had since fled the country



CRM-M-45761-2024

and had been declared a proclaimed offender, the respondents/accused would also follow suit.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3-accused, however, vehemently opposed the prayer and controverted the apprehension raised by the counsel for the petitioner-complainant. It has been submitted that respondents No.2 and 3 have no such plans of travelling abroad. However, he undertakes that in case respondents No.2 and 3 plan to go abroad, they would seek prior permission of the learned Trial Court for the same.

4. The instant petition is disposed of in view of the undertaking given by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3-accused in the Court today.

08.09.2005

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No