



CWP-9485-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CWP-9485-2018 (O & M)
Date of decision: 17.02.2025

Sunita and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the order/letter dated 08.08.2014, Annexure P-5, 30.03.2016, Annexure P-6, 12.07.2017, Annexure P-9 and 08.11.2017, Annexure P-10, whereby the respondent-authorities have modified condition No.16 of the appointment letter without any justification.

2. The petitioners had applied for appointment to the post of Masters/Mistresses, pursuant to the advertisement dated 19.08.1992, however, were not selected, consequent thereto filed CWP-2128-2002, which was disposed of, with the lead case being CWP-8457-1999, **Sandeep Kumari and others vs. State of Punjab and another**, vide



CWP-9485-2018

judgment dated 08.08.2013, and consequently, the petitioners were appointed.

3. He submitted that the sole premise of the written statement is that the said clause No.16 had inadvertently been mentioned which, as a matter of fact, is incorrect, as this Court in the previous *lis* had specifically directed that the petitioners were the left out candidates and if they are found in the over all merit, would be offered appointment with immediate effect. They being higher in merit than those appointed previously in the same selection and as per the general instructions issued on 29.01.2016, Annexure P-11, notional benefits were to be granted to those whose appointments as Masters/Mistresses were delayed.

4. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the matter will be re-visited in light of the aforesaid facts and decision shall be taken thereon with regard to the claim of the petitioners, within a period of six months, uninfluenced by the orders/letters impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

5. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioners are found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same may be passed after

2025:PHHC:022812



-3-

CWP-9485-2018

granting opportunity of hearing to them and shall contain reasons,
whereupon they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

17.02.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No