



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-38282-2025

Date of decision: 25.07.2025

Lovedeep Singh @ Love

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr.Raghav Soni, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed for regular bail under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.78 dated 25.04.2025, under Sections 21-B/21-C/29/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that on the basis of suspicion, on 25.04.2025 police had apprehended co-accused Akashdeep Singh and 10 grams of heroin was recovered from his possession. On the basis of disclosure statement of said Akashdeep Singh, name of the present petitioner surfaced in the FIR and the allegation against him is pertaining to sale of Narcotics. On the disclosure statement of the present petitioner, Arshdeep Singh was nominated as an accused and recovery of 386 grams of Heroin was effected from his possession. It is an admitted fact that no recovery has been



effected from the possession of present petitioner, more-so apart from the disclosure statement of the co-accused, there is no other incriminating evidence against the petitioner in the offence in question. The petitioner is in custody for the last 02 months and 26 days and is not involved in any other case of similar nature.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 02 months and 26 days.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 months 26 days, he is not involved in any other case of similar nature and the trial is likely to take a long time as charges are yet to be framed, therefore, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Moreover, rule is bail not jail. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the



satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

July 25, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No