


2025:PHHC:090092



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. NO.108

CRM-M-37761-2025

DATE OF DECISION:22.07.2025

KARAN SINGH ALIAS KARANDEEP SINGH ALIAS LAALA

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of third petition under Section 428 of BNSS for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.187 dated 14.10.2024 registered under Sections 115(2)/118(1)/ 3(5) of BNS (Section 117(1)/118(2) of BNS added later on vide DDR No.24 dated 27.10.2024) at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar (Rural).

On the last date of hearing, the case has been called twice, but no one appeared to assist the Court on behalf of the petitioner. Even today, the case has been called twice still there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Consequently, the case is taken up for disposal by this Court.

From the perusal of case file, it is apparent that the petitioner had earlier filed a petition bearing CRM-M-7907-2025 before this Court, seeking similar relief and the following order was passed on 25.02.2025:-

“A short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Baba Bakala Sahib, Amritsar (Rural) has been filed on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

In case, the petitioner surrenders within a period of ten days from today, his bail application shall be decided expeditiously by the concerned court, in accordance with law.”

Again the petitioner filed CRM-M-30995-2025 before this Court, seeking similar relief and the following order was passed on 29.05.2025:-

“After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

All other pending applications, if any, are also disposed off, accordingly.”

Now the petitioner has filed the third petition before this Court for grant of concession of anticipatory bail without any change of circumstances. Thus, the third petition, for the same relief, is not maintainable before this Court and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

22.07.2025
mks

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO