



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7733-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.07.2025**

Jagdish Raj

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Somesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to take appropriate action against the private respondents No.4 to 8 on the basis of representations dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-1), dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) and dated 06.06.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that son of the petitioner set up a cart shop of slippers in front of Malhotra Sweets Shop, Dhaliwal and right next to his shop, a vegetable shop was also established by respondent No.8, who is harassing him for the last about one and half year, as he enkindles dhoop weighing about 01 kg, due to the same, smoke spreads and it is very uncomfortable for him to sit at the shop. Sometimes, respondent No.8, by raising the volume of the speaker, turns the same towards the shop of

2025:PHHC:089722



petitioner's son and sometimes, puts the cart shop before his cart shop. Upon being advised by the petitioner, respondent No.8 did not mend his ways and also threatened him. On 07.12.2024, the petitioner requested respondent No.8 not to spread smoke and increase the volume of speaker, due to which, no customer comes to their shop. The petitioner tried to put aside the dhoop smoke, but due to dropping of its spark on his hand, dhoop fell down. On this, respondent No.8 called some persons and they attacked the petitioner and his son with arms. Out of these attackers, respondent No.6 is the main accused. In the quarrel, the petitioner and his son suffered injuries and opposite party also suffered injuries. However, due to political influence, respondent No.8, by exaggerating his injuries, got registered an FIR against the petitioner, his sons and grandson and no action was taken on the complaint given by the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner obtained anticipatory bail from this Court in the FIR case and started doing his business, however, he and his children were given threats to their life and liberty and even his shops were got closed. On 24.04.2025 at about 07.30 a.m., respondent No.4 came to shop of the petitioner and threatened his servant that if he tried to open the shop, they would keep some narcotics there, upon which, he closed the shop and since then, the shop is closed. Thereafter, the petitioner gave a written complaint to ASI Ranjit Singh, Police Station Dhaliwal, however, no action has been taken thereon. On 28.04.2025 at about 01.00 p.m., when the petitioner opened his second shop at B-13, Lagaah Market, then respondent No.5 came and threatened to kill his children. The petitioner submitted various representations dated 23.04.2025



(Annexure P-1), dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) and dated 06.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) to the jurisdictional police authorities against respondents No.4 to 8, but till date, the same have not been decided.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by him in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of complete paperbook to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. Keeping in view the prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

22.07.2025
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No