



CRM-M-38159-2025

107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38159-2025
Date of decision: 21.07.2025

BAGGA@DILBAGH SINGH @ DILBAG SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Nitin Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through video conferencing)

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 0023 dated 26.03.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Kotli Surat Mallian District Batala.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the present petitioner along with the co-accused have given injuries to the husband of the complainant which are grievous in nature.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the FIR was registered against 6 accused persons with the allegations that all the accused came on the spot with weapons and caused injuries, but no specific role has been attributed to any of the accused.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf for the respondent-State. He has opposed the prayer for concession of bail to the



CRM-M-38159-2025

petitioner on the ground that the injured received 10 injuries, out of which 09 were with sharp edged weapons. He further submits that the injured suffered two severe head injuries.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are very serious. He along with other co-accused have caused injuries to the husband of the complainant out of which two severe head injuries, which is a vital part of the body. At the time of grant of anticipatory bail, the Court is required to consider factors such as nature and gravity of the offence, the role attributed to the petitioner. Keeping in view the peculiar facts of the case, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

21.07.2025

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No