



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42117-2024

Date of Decision: 16.01.2025

Ved Parkash

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to issue appropriate directions to the Trial Court to decide the complaint in a time bound manner.

2. I have heard the petitioner at length.

3. The petitioner has submitted that the complaint was filed by him before the Trial Court on 12.10.2023. The case set up by him is based on the statements of various official witnesses, who are intentionally not appearing before the Trial Court, despite service of summons/warrants. He further submits that the Trial Court may be directed to issue non-bailable warrants against such witnesses, who are not even appearing, even after their service through bailable-warrants.

4. In the meantime, a report has been received from the Trial Court also, which clearly shows that the case is listed for preliminary evidence of the complainant. Even, one order dated 08.08.2024 has also been attached and

it clearly shows that the official witnesses had not appeared before the Court, despite service.

5. Thus, the Trial Court is directed to strictly follow the procedure laid down by the statute in Chapter-VI of B.N.S.S (process to compel appearance). The Trial Court shall also directed to expedite the proceedings in the present complaint.

6. With these observations, the present petition is disposed of.

16.01.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No