



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19827-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 6th August, 2025

HARLEEN

.....Petitioner(s)

V/s

JOINT ADMISSION COMMITTEE (JAC-2025) AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present Mr. Harshit Singh, Advocate,
 Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate,
 Ms. Anupama Kashyap, Advocate and
 Mr. Hari Ram, Advocate, for the petitioner.

 Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate and
 Mr. Varun Sandhu, Advocate for respondent-U.T. Chandigarh.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. This Court, on the previous occasion i.e. on 22.07.2025, had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is granted short adjournment to file an affidavit in response to this petition.

Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute that the last candidate admitted in the first round of counselling, which ended on 11.07.2025, had secured JEE (Main) – 2025 ranking of 2131, whereas the petitioner secured 1369 in the said examination.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that because of late declaration of the 6th semester final examination result of Diploma in Architecture from Government Polytechnic for Women, Sector 10-D, Chandigarh, the petitioner could not file/upload the certificate as regards the 6th semester examination. It is also not disputed that the petitioner had

uploaded the mark-sheets of all the five semesters of the said Diploma Course.

Learned counsel for the rival parties also do not dispute that the 6th semester examination result of the said Diploma Course in Architecture was declared on 08.07.2025, whereas the first counselling had begun from 05.07.2025 which remained open till 07.07.2025 for choice filling. Thus, the petitioner could not upload the 6th and final semester examination result of Diploma in Architecture before 08.07.2025 and, therefore, was declared ineligible to participate in the admission process.

It is evident from the facts that the reason for denial of the petitioner to be considered in the admission process is attributed not to her, but to a reason beyond her control i.e. late declaration of result of 6th semester final examination of Diploma in Architecture.

In view of above, case for grant of interim relief is made out and, therefore, it is directed that the respondent-authorities shall consider the case of the petitioner against the seat that has been kept reserved by interim order passed by this Court on 16.07.2025 in all the rounds which were underway or are scheduled in the future.

Let the result of consideration of the petitioner be submitted before this Court in a sealed cover.

List on 06.08.2025.”

2. Pursuant to the aforesaid directions, the petitioner has been granted admission provisionally.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grant of admission is provisional pursuant to the orders passed by this Court on 22.07.2025, and would remain subject to final outcome of the present Writ Petition.

4. The previous order, passed by this Court on 22.07.2025, clearly reveals that it was in peculiar circumstances, that the direction was issued by this Court, to grant provisional admission to the petitioner.

5. In such circumstances, while observing that this order would not be treated as a precedent in other matters, we **dispose of** the present Writ Petition with the observation that the petitioner would be allowed to continue pursuant to the admission granted in the respondent-Institution.

6. All pending applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

**[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE**

**[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE**

August 6, 2025
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>