



CRM-M-37605-2025

1

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37605-2025
Decided on : 02.12.2025**

Sukhjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner- Sukhjit Singh has filed instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 0049 dated 19.08.2023 registered under Sections 307, 336, 427, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act (Section 302 IPC added later on) at Police Station Kotli Surat Mallian, District Batala.

2. As per allegations in the FIR, there was a group fight, which resulted into death of Charanpreet Singh son of Ajit Singh, who was from the side of the present petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and later on falsely implicated in the present case, on the basis of disclosure statement of injured Paramjit Singh and Prince. It is thereafter, that petitioner was also arrested in the instant case and is, thus, lying inside jail since 20.05.2024 (01 year, 06 months and 12 days). As per role assigned to the petitioner, he caused *datar* blow on the backside of the body (posterior) of Prince



CRM-M-37605-2025

2

and Paramjit Singh and both the injures were declared grievous. He further argues that almost similarly situated co-accused namely Maninder Singh and Satinder Singh have already been granted bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 07.03.2024 passed in CRM-M-4816-2024 (Annexure P-2) and vide order dated 20.02.2024 passed in CRM-M-63782-2023 (Annexure P-3). Since the injury attributed to the petitioner is on non-vital part, it can not be assumed that there was any attempt to cause murder of the injured Paramjit Singh and Prince and, thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is found indulged in a serious offence and has attributed grievous injury with *datar* on both the aforementioned injured persons. He further submits that the petitioner is involved in three more criminal cases of similar nature and the same is detailed in the status report dated 15.10.2025, which has been filed in the Court today. He also clarifies that, there are total 26 prosecution witnesses, however, none has been examined till date and charges were framed on 21.04.2025.

5. I have considered the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and gone through the status report. Undoubtedly, the charges were framed on 21.04.2025, still none of the prosecution witness has been examined till date. The petitioner is inside the jail since 20.05.2024. The role attributed to the petitioner is of causing *datar* on the non-vital part of body of injured-Paramjit Singh and Prince, therefore, the intention of his involvement in the present case in question is yet to to be determined by the trial Court. The other co-accused Maninder Singh and Satinder Singh have already been granted bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court.

**CRM-M-37605-2025****3**

6. Accordingly, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

December 02, 2025

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO