



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42419-2024
Date of decision: 14.05.2025

ANKUR GARG AND ANR
....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shivraj Ranjan, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 05.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
25	01.03.2024	406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and 66-D of the IT Act	Dugri, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 05.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and



CRM-M-42419-2024

2

to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, vide report dated 25.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs.40,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 04.02.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 admits the factum of compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 25 dated 01.03.2024 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and 66-D of the IT Act, registered at Police Station Dugri, District Police Commisionerate, Ludhiana, Punjab, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

14.05.2025

kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)

JUDGE

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No