

108

CRWP-7649-2025

Date of Decision: 18.07.2025

SHARMILA AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents Nos. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No. 4 to 6. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents No. 4 to 6 and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 6. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents No. 4 to 6. Resultantly, a representation dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, Haryana wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned



CRWP-7649-2025

2

counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, Haryana to look into their representation/complaint dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, Haryana a to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.07.2025*renu*

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No