



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-40756-2024

Date of Decision:-24.07.2025

Harwinder Singh & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- None for the Petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP U.T., Chandigarh.

None for the respondent nos.2 to 7.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

On 23.08.2024 the following order was passed:-

“ The prayer in the present petition filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of FIR No.115, dated 26.07.2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station Sector 39, Chandigarh (Union Territory) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Manish Bansal, P.P.U.T, Chandigarh and Mr. Shubham Mangla, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. A copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Mr. Navraj Narang, Advocate with Mr. Ajaypal Singh,



Advocate has appeared and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos 2 to 7 today in the Court and the same is taken on record. He affirms the factum of compromise between the parties.

Let the parties to appear before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, as the case may be, within a period of 30 days, for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. The statements of the parties with regard to the compromise may be recorded on the same day or on any other day convenient to trial Court/Duty Magistrate but not later than six days thereof.

Trial Court is directed to report on the following points:-

- (i) how many total accused are facing the trial;*
- (ii) whether challan is presented in the Court? If so, against how many accused;*
- (iii) whether any of the accused was declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial;*
- (iv) status/stage of the trial/case;*
- (v) to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise;*
- (vi) to record the statement of Investigating Officer with regard to points No.(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) as above.*

Report be sent through the District & Sessions Judge, before the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 16.12.2024.

The petitioners shall deposit costs of Rs.10,000/- with Punjab and Haryana High Court Lawyers Welfare Fund on or before the date of recording of their statements and produce copy of receipt before the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.”

Thereafter on 16.12.2024 the following order was passed:-

“ *Learned counsel representing respondents No.2 to 7*



submitted that the requisite statements of the parties could not be got recorded as the complainant is a resident of Nepal who has now come to India.

It has also been informed that as directed vide order dated 23.8.2024, costs of Rs.10,000/- in any case has already been deposited.

In view of the aforesaid position, the time afforded to the parties to get their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise is extended and the parties would be at liberty to get their statements recorded in terms of earlier order dated 23.8.2024 by moving an appropriate application before the Court concerned. Upon any such application being filed, the Court concerned shall take necessary steps for recording the statements of the parties on any convenient date.

List again on 17.7.2025.”

The perusal of the report dated 26.03.2025 of JMIC, Chandigarh reveals that the complaint did not appear before the court in deference to the aforementioned orders.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach this Court once again if and when a proper compromise is arrived at between the parties.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 24, 2025
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No