

2025:PHHC:088062



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-7655-2025

Date of decision: July 18, 2025

KHUSHI KHAN AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Varsha, Advocate and
Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged 20 years and petitioner No.2, aged 21 years, got married on 14.07.2025, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 14.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mahendragarh for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners

**CRWP-7655-2025****-2-**

would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mahendragarh to look into their representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mahendragarh, to look into the representation dated 14.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

July 18, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*