



219 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8198-2024
Date of decision: 17.02.2025

BALJINDER KAUR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Uzra Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners, from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6 with direction not to harass them or interfere in their peaceful life.

2. Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 6 and filed his *vakalatnama* which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

3. On 28.08.2024, the following order was passed :

“Petitioners Baljinder Kaur and Dharminder Singh have filed present criminal writ petition for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the private respondents No.4 to 6 with direction not to harass them or interfere in their peaceful life.

Respondent No.4 is none else but husband of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.5 and 6 are brothers-in-law of petitioner No.1. Considering the aforesaid factual position, let status report be called for.



CRWP-8198-2024

-2-

Notice of motion.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State.

Adjourned to 04.09.2024.

Status report be filed by respondent – State by the adjourned date.

Petitioners are directed to appear in person before this Court on the next date of hearing.

In the meantime, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 12.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) and to take adequate measures, if required.”

4. Status report filed by way of affidavit dated 02.10.2024 of Rajpal Singh Gill, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, District Rupnagar on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sandeep Kumar, submits that the petitioners as well as private respondents have appeared before the jurisdictional police authorities and the private respondents stated that they would not interfere in the life of the petitioners and petitioners have also submitted that they do not want any further action on their representation.

6. In view of the above, no further directions are required to be passed in the present petition and the same stands disposed of accordingly.

February 17, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No