



**294 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41418-2024

Date of decision: 04.02.2025

AJAY AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Neha Dewan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Harsh Vardhan Sehrawat, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.694 dated 26.11.2023 under Section 365 of IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.08.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 28.08.2024 :-

“xxx...xxx...xxx

CRM-M-41418-2024

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion for 04.02.2025.

At this stage, Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State, while Mr. Harsh Vardhan Sehrawat and Mr. Rujhan Dhawan, Advocates, have put



in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3 and filed their vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

The parties are directed to move an application before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate concerned for getting their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such application is moved and put up before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall consider the said application and do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise, on any convenient date. After recording the statements of all the affected parties, and upon getting requisite information from Investigating Officer, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded, broadly on the following aspects:

- (i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioners, arrayed in this petition?*
- (ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition?*
- (iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?*
- (iv) Whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion?*

The report be submitted before this Court on or before the next date.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in



Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.694 dated 26.11.2023 under Section 365 of IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

February 04, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |