



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41044-2024

Date of decision: 10.02.2025

ANIL @ NANU AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Palkin Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
264	15.07.2024	109(1) and 3 (5) of BNS Act, 2023 and 25 (1-B) (a) of Arms Act.	Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram, vide

CRM-M-41044-2024 2

report dated 30.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs.30,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 21.01.2025.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 264 dated 15.07.2024 under Sections 109(1) and 3 (5) of BNS Act, 2023 and 25 (1-B) (a) of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.02.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |