



CRM-M-37268-2025(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

208-2

CRM-M-37268-2025(O&M)

Decided on: 04.12.2025

Anshu @ Ansh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Jossan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Anshu @ Ansh aged 23 years	0019	11.02.2019	324, 323, 34 of IPC and Sections 326, 325 of IPC added later on	Cantt. Ferozepur	Ferozepur

2. On 17.07.2025, following order was passed:-

“ Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked



in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Anshu @ Ansh aged 23 years	19	11.02.2019	324, 323, 34 of IPC and Sections 326, 325 of IPC added later on	Cantt. Ferozepur	Ferozepur

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations, complainant herself was interfering in the marriage and family life of the parents of the petitioner. Complainant has claimed in the FIR that she was, in fact, living alone and was in a relationship with Vishal @ Happy Kad (father of the petitioner). She further stated that she had gone to seek financial help, and it was on that account that she was allegedly attacked by the accused persons.*
3. *Further submits that as per the allegations, petitioner inflicted an injury on the complainant’s right arm, which has been stated to be grievous in nature. However, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that even if the allegations and the medical opinion are accepted at this stage, the offence would fall under Section 117(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Besides, similarly situated co-accused ‘Deepti Kad’, has also been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 16.07.2025, passed in CRM-M-37088-2025 (O&M), titled as, “**Deepti Kad v. State of Punjab**”. Thus, while claiming parity, counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*
4. *Notice of motion.*
5. *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to*



file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner's counsel.

6. *Adjourned to 15.10.2025.*

7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport. It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.*

9. *To be heard along with CRM-M-37088-2025 (O&M)."*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends in compliance with the order dated 17.07.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation on 22.07.2025 and has extended full cooperation. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

**CRM-M-37268-2025(O&M)****4**

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 17.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as non est automatically.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

04.12.2025

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**