

2025:PHHC:176498



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

331

**CRM-M-38152-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.12.2025**

Anesh and others**...Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. R. K. Girdhwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Mittal, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 5.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 266 dated 12.09.2022, registered under Sections 147, 149, 295, 323 and 506 of IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act, 1989 (for short 'the Act, 1989') [Section 120-B of IPC and Sections 3(1)(K), 3(1)(R), 3(1)(S) and 3(2)(VA) of the Act, 1968 added later on] at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.06.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court vide order dated 21.07.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

2025:PHHC:176498



3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Narnaul and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 13.08.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence. It is also mentioned in the report that there is no other accused in this case except the present petitioners. Separate statements of the petitioners and complainant/respondents No.2 to 5 as well as the Investigating Officer have been recorded. It is further mentioned in the report that none of the petitioners has been declared a proclaimed person/offender in this case.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 266 dated 12.09.2022, registered under Sections 147, 149, 295, 323 and 506 of IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act, 1989 (for short 'the Act, 1989') [Section 120-B of IPC and Sections 3(1)(K), 3(1)(R), 3(1)(S) and 3(2)(VA) of the Act, 1968 added later on] at Police Station Ateli, District

2025:PHHC:176498



Mahendergarh is hereby quashed qua the petitioners along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

19.12.2025
Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No