



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

229

RA-CR-206-CII-2010
IN FAO-3432-2009
Date of Decision:20.05.2025

NEW INDIA ASSURANCE
COMPANY LTD

....Review-applicant

V/S

SANTOSH AND ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Goyat, Advocate for the review-applicant.

Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. S.S. Tiwana, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

None for respondent No.6.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the review-applicant is not in a position to argue the matter as he states that he does not have the copy of the paper book or the review-application. He further states that he had contacted the applicant but they are not able to trace the record.
2. It seems that the review-applicant is not interested in pursuing the application.
3. Dismissed in default for non-prosecution.
4. Liberty is granted to the review-applicant to seek revival of the application, in case, they intend to pursue it.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

20.05.2025
pooja saini

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No