

215/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.168504



CRM-M-37648-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 04.12.2025

RAJ KUMAR @ RAJU

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Ashwani Bhatia, AAG Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.182 dated 06.07.2024, under Section 15 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 27-A of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. The case of the prosecution is that co-accused Subhash Chand was apprehended with 1Kg of poppy husk and he further named Het Ram @ Hetu as an accused from whom he had purchased the said contraband. The said Het Ram @ Hetu has named the present petitioner as a supplier of poppy husk and he purchased 10 kg of poppy husk from him.

3. A custody certificate dated 02.12.2025 filed by the State counsel has been taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner. Apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the said recovery of contraband.

5. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 10 days. Out of 21 prosecution witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been examined so far. He further submits that petitioner is involved in four more cases.
6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
7. The petitioner has been nominated in the present FIR on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused. The petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 10 days. Out of 21 prosecution witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been examined so far. The conclusion of trial is likely to take some time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.
8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.
9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

04.12.2025  
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No