

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-19937-2025 (O&M)
Decided on :17.07.2025

VEER PAL KAUR

. .Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr.Satinderpal Singh Dhanesar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that she has not been allotted appropriate time table by the respondents to operate upon the allotted route permit No. 174/REG/SB/RTA/BTI/22 from Salabtpura to Baghapruana via Dyalpura Bhaika, Pakharwadh, Raunta, Smadh Bahi, Chanuana vide permit dated 25.04.2022 (Anneuxre P-2), hence, the respondents be directed to grant the petitioner appropriate time slot to operate upon the said route permit.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 17.06.2025 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, legal notice dated 17.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been received by the respondents and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the respondents by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of copy of this order. In case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed which order will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

17.07.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~
Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No