



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20538-2025

Date of Decision:15.09.2025

Parveen Rani Jain

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently the age of petitioner is mentioned as 79 years, whereas, the correct age is 69 years and he may be permitted to make a correction in the petition in this regard.
2. The prayer is accepted and the learned counsel is directed to correct the age of petitioner as 69 years in the memo of parties as well as in the Page No. 15 of the affidavit.
3. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to grant the service benefits, earned during the previous service in Government aided schools in terms of the provisions of Rule 4.4 of Punjab Civil Service Rules along with all consequential benefits, in light of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-4629-2012, titled as **“Sunil Kumar Sharma & Others Vs State of Punjab”** and the benefits have been granted to several other similarly situated employees.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has

already submitted a representation dated 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) to the District Education Officer, SBS Nagar-respondent No.4 in this regard, but no decision has been taken on the said representation. He shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-2).

5. Notice of motion.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

8. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking and well reasoned order. While passing the order, the respondent No.3 shall also look into the observations made by this Court in CWP-4629-2012, titled as **“Sunil Kumar Sharma & Others Vs State of Punjab”**

9. The petitioner shall also be at liberty to place on record an additional representation/material before respondent No.3 within a period of one week from today and while disposing of the matter, the additional representation/material shall also be looked into by respondent No.3.

10. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to any consequential relief, the same shall be granted to him, forthwith, along with reasonable rate

of interest.

11. Disposed of.

15.09.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No