

**CRWP-7560-2025****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****139****CRWP-7560-2025****Date of decision : 17.07.2025**

Manjit Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Parikshit Singh Rajput, Advocate and
Mr. K.S.Dargan, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 08.07.2025, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, Punjab seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, Punjab to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.
3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

**CRWP-7560-2025****-2-**

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, Punjab to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

17.07.2025*renu*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No