

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:131974



COC-3452-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.09.2025

MEENA KUMARI JAIN**..Petitioner**

Versus

ANINDITA MITRA, IAS AND OTHERS**..Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate
Mr. Mayank Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 07.01.2025 passed in CWP-35237-2024, which is reproduced as under:-

“1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to file a detailed representation, which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. However, in case a representation is filed within 4 weeks, the same be decided expeditiously, in accordance with law.”

2. Learned State counsel contends that in compliance of order dated 07.01.2025, a speaking order dated 19.09.2025 has been passed. Copy of the same has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner and also placed on record.



- 3. A perusal of speaking order dated 19.09.2025, shows that order dated 07.01.2025 passed in CWP-35237-2024, has been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 4. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.
- 5. Needless to say that liberty is however granted to the petitioner to challenge the speaking order dated 19.09.2025 in accordance with law.

September 23rd, 2025
Ayub

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*