



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M No.37578 of 2025
Date of decision: 22.08.2025

Gurjeet Singh ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Ranbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
99	26.07.2024	Kot Ise Khan, District Moga	21 of the Narcotric Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short ‘NDPS’) (29 of NDPS and 25 of Arms Act, 1959 added later on)

2025:PHHC:112293



2. As per the allegations, on 26.07.2024, on receipt of a secret information to the effect that one Saudagar Singh @ Sagar was indulged in the business of selling heroin and at that moment, he was present at the bus stand of Village Nasirpur Jaina for selling heroin to some customer and that he could be apprehended with contraband, and on believing the secret information to be true, a raiding party was immediately formed. Ruqa was sent to the police station. The police party reached at the informed place and apprehended Saudagar Singh @ Sagar. After completing due formalities, search of his bag was conducted and 800 grams of heroin was recovered from the same. Accused Saudagar Singh was formally arrested. On interrogation, he suffered disclosure statement on the basis of which the petitioner and Sarwan Singh @ Samma were nominated as accused. He also disclosed that he had received the contraband from the petitioner and co-accused Sarwan Singh and had to sell supply the same to Ankit Sharma and Akshey. He also got recovered .32 bore pistol and two live cartridges.

3. As per the further allegations, the petitioner was arrested on 01.08.2024 by issuance of production warrants as he was in custody in some other case. Investigation now stands completed and trial is going on.

4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement of

2025:PHHC:112293



the co-accused which cannot be considered to be admissible in evidence. Neither any contraband nor any illicit weapon had been recovered from him. The trial will take considerable time to conclude. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. His involvement in other cases cannot be considered to be a reason for denying benefit of bail. Accordingly, it is urged that he deserves to be released on bail.

5. Status report has been filed. It is argued by learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab that keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner, he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail.

6. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

7. The petitioner is alleged to be the supplier of 800 grams of heroin which was recovered from the co-accused. He is in custody since 01.08.2024. No recovery has been effected from him. The trial will obviously take time to conclude. As such, this Court is of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any more. In view of the above discussed facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petition deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

2025:PHHC:112293



8. This order shall come into force from the time it is uploaded on this Court’s official webpage.

22.08.2025	(MANISHA BATRA)
manju	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No