

2025:PHHC:093249



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CRM-M-39130-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2025

Pawan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA


Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of order dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-5), passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad in case titled as ***State of Haryana vs. Ankit @ Tiger and others***, arising out of FIR No. 437 dated 13.06.2023, registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 307, 365, 379-B, 427, 506, 120-B, 201 and 325 of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Surajkund, Faridabad, whereby the bail of the petitioner had been cancelled and warrants of arrest had been issued against him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He had been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 10.01.2024 in ***CRM-M-59280-2023***. The trial is going on. The petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court. However, due to his bad health, he could not appear before the learned trial Court on 24.02.2025, which resulted into cancellation of his bail and issuance warrants of arrest against him. The

2025:PHHC:093249



case is now fixed for 29.07.2025. It is further argued that his absence before the Court on the said dates was neither intentional nor deliberate. He is ready to appear before the learned trial Court and abide by the terms and conditions to be imposed by this Court. He undertakes to attend the Court proceedings, as and when he is required to do so. It is, therefore, urged that the petition deserves to be allowed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who has advance notice of the petition and is ready to argue the matter, has submitted that there is no infirmity or illegality in the impugned order.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have also gone through the material placed on record.

6. Admittedly, the petitioner was granted concession of anticipatory by this Court, as mentioned above. A perusal of the record shows that he was regularly appearing before the Court. However, he absented himself on the aforesaid date, consequent to which, his bail had been cancelled and warrants of arrest have been issued against him and the case is now pending for 29.07.2025. He had moved an application seeking exemption from his appearance on 24.02.2025 on the grounds of his illness but the same was not considered by the learned trial Court as no documents were attached with the same. The absence of the petitioner on the aforesaid date was obviously due to his carelessness but it does not attract the consequence of detaining him into custody in the peculiar facts of the case. He is ready to join the Court proceedings. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves some leniency in the

2025:PHHC:093249



matter. In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of. The petitioner is ordered to surrender before the learned trial Court on 29.07.2025 and on doing so, he shall be released on bail by the learned trial Court, subject to his furnishing fresh personal/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

7. Let a copy of this order be given *dasti* to learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Reader of this Court.

25.07.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No