



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19678-2025

Date of decision : 16.07.2025

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The petitioner, who is son of an accused in case under the NDPS Act, 1985, has approached this Court expressing apprehension that the police shall visit his residential accommodation and is threatening to demolish it based on the freezing order dated 27.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed under Section 68F (2) of the NDPS Act, 1985.

2. Section 68O of the NDPS Act, 1985, provides for remedy of an appeal before the Appellate Tribunal, preferably within a period of forty-five days, but not later than sixty days.

3. In view of the said remedy being not availed by the petitioner, this Court declines interference, since for adjudication of the issue involved disputed questions of fact will have to be gone into, which ought not to be



done while exercising the power of judicial review under Article 226 of the Constitution.

4. Accordingly, with the aforesaid liberty, petition stands dismissed.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

July 16, 2025
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No