



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

**CWP-19738-2025
Date of Decision: 16.07.2025**

JOGENDER

... Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Sr. Panel Counsel for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Seeking setting aside of the order dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-13), whereby the claim of the petitioner for counting the period of his termination as a period spent on duty has been rejected, the instant writ petition has been filed.

It is not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner that against his above termination, the petitioner had earlier filed a CWP No.7593 of 2018 which was decided vide judgment dated 04.03.2020, and as per the directions issued therein, the respondents were asked to take back the petitioner into service, however, the benefit of back wages was denied. There is no reference in the abovesaid judgment of having granted the consequential benefits to the petitioner.

On being confronted with the fact that the petitioner cannot claim a benefit, which was under consideration in the erstwhile writ petition and was not granted therein, by way of a separate writ petition, counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant writ petition with liberty to take recourse to an appropriate remedy for seeking redressal of his aforesaid grievance.

Learned counsel for the respondents, who is present in Court on account of advance notice, does not have any objection to the same.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

JULY 16, 2025.

Rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No