



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(228)

CWP No. 20400 of 2024

Date of Decision : 18.02.2025

Baldeva

...Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commissioner, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Jayia, Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is qua the order dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-9), whereby the information being sought qua the demarcation of the land comprised in Murabba No. 26, Killa Nos. 13, 14, 18, 19 and 20 situated at Village Sotha, is not being given.

2. Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply including the compliance report, wherein, it has been mentioned that in compliance of the order dated 23.08.2024 passed by this Court, the demarcation of the land has already been done on 05.09.2024, according to which, three other persons including the petitioner have been found in unauthorized possession of certain land and the demarcation report of the land has also been annexed along with the status report.



3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

4. Once, the demarcation has already been done and the report has already been attached with the reply, no further grievance of the petitioner survives. In case, the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner against the said demarcation report, he can avail appropriate remedy available to him under the law.

5. Petition is disposed of in above terms.

February 18, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No