

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:089029



(120)

CRWP-7805-2025

Decided on :21.07.2025

JAGRAJ SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus or issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of petitioners, which is in imminent danger at the hands of respondent No.3 in the interest of justice.

AND

OR for issuance of any other appropriate order or direction, which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case as made out hereunder.

AND

For issuance of direction to respondent No.3 and decide the representation dated 29.06.2025 Annexure P-1 submitted by the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police,

Sangrur) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 29.06.2025 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-1 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of their representation dated 29.06.2025 (Annexure P-1), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

- (i) Respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur) is mandated to look into the representation dated 29.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter.
- (ii) No order as to costs.

July 21, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No