

**CRWP-7541-2025****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****115****CRWP-7541-2025****Date of decision : 16.07.2025**

Soniya and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rishi Pal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6 and their companions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents No. 4 and 6 have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-4 dated 11.07.2025, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Karnal, Haryana seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to No.2- Superintendent of Police, Karnal, Haryana to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.



CRWP-7541-2025

-2-

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Karnal, Haryana to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.
6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

16.07.2025
renu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No