



**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37487-2025

Date of Decision:17.07.2025

RANJEET SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in petitioner in case FIR No.96, dated 28.05.2025, registered under Sections 109, 351(2), 351(3), 308(5), 115(2), 190, 191(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Khalra, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1);

2 Contention

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, as he has not committed any alleged offense and no injury has been attributed to him. He undertakes, on instructions, that the petitioner is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation and shall appear as and when required.

Notice of motion.

**On behalf of the State/complainant**

On the asking of Court, Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State. He prays for dismissal of the present petition stating that the petitioner alongwith co-accused gave injuries to the complainant and the allegations are serious in nature.

3. Analysis

Be that as it may, upon due consideration of the submissions advanced and, in particular, taking note of the fact that the petitioner was though part of unlawful assembly but specific injury has been attributed to him. This Court is of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not warranted at this stage as nothing is to be recovered from him.

In the light of above, this Court finds no cogent or compelling reason to deny bail to the petitioner, particularly when he has expressed *bona fide* intent, and has undertaken to join and cooperate with the investigation so as to enable timely submission of the final report by the Investigating Agency.

4. Relief:-

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to her joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-



‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

17.07.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No