



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

263

CWP-20177-2024
Decided On: 02.04.2025

BABITA

....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Ms. Saroj Kumari, Advocate for
 Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a direction to restrain the respondents from making recovery of excess payment of *ex-gratia* amount paid to the petitioner vide memo dated 07.06.2024, Annexure P-8, and also directing them to pay family pension to her.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the respondents have decided not to effect any recovery from the petitioner pursuant to memo dated 07.06.2024, and her case for releasing of family pension has already been submitted to the Accountant General, Haryana, vide letter dated 28.03.2025.

3. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

02.04.2025
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No