



COC-3470-2025 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-3470-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 22, 2025

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Mandeep Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 03.11.2020 passed by this Court in CRWP-1423-2019.

2. Vide order dated 03.11.2020, this Court passed the following order:-

“30. In view of the above discussion, the petition is allowed with the following orders/directions:-

(i) respondent No.4 is directed to hand over the custody of minor daughter to the petitioner on 15.11.2020 between 10.00 AM and 11:00 AM at the parental house of the petitioner;

(ii) in case respondent No.4 fails to do so, respondents No.2 and 3 shall ensure that custody of minor daughter is immediately handed over to the petitioner by taking over the same from respondents No.4 and 5;

**COC-3470-2025 (O&M)**

- (iii) respondent No.4 shall be at liberty to file petition under the HMG Act/the GW Act before the Guardian/Family Court for custody of the minor daughter;*
- (iv) the Guardian/Family Court shall decide the question of custody of the minor daughter uninfluenced by the observations made by this Court by taking into consideration the welfare and best of interest of the minor daughter;*
- (v) till decision of the custody petition, respondent No.4 shall be entitled to meet the minor daughter on every Sunday for two hours between 10.00 AM and 05.00 PM or make video calls to the minor daughter for 15/30 minutes between 05.00 PM and 06.00 PM as desired and to be conveyed in advance to the petitioner by respondent No.4;and*
- (vi) respondents No.2 and 3 shall provide requisite protection to the petitioner and the minor daughter against harm at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5 during the period custody of the minor daughter remains with the petitioner.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out how the contempt is made out. When the Court was going to impose the cost, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of the present contempt petition.

4. In view of the above, the contempt petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

August 22, 2025

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No