



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

279

CRM-M-37537-2025

Date of Decision : 21.08.2025

SUBHASH AND ANOTHER

.... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunny Namdev, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.714 dated 26.09.2020 under Sections 323, 34, 354, 452 and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station HTM, District Hisar (during investigation Sections 354 and 452 of IPC deleted) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 05.07.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Poonam Devi.

3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that she has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been



effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.714 dated 26.09.2020 under Sections 323, 34, 354, 452 and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station HTM, District Hisar (during investigation Sections 354 and



452 of IPC deleted) and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed
qua the petitioners.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

21.08. 2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No