



**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36936-2025

Date of Decision:15.07.2025

HARPREET SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Robin Gill, Advocate and
Mr. Anirudh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 25 dated 21.01.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Kaithal City, District Kaithal.

2 Contention

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on the disclosure statement of co-accused Virender Singh; and has been roped in the present FIR being the previous owner of the vehicle; and the FIR has been lodged after a delay of more than 7 years. He further submits that the vehicle in question and other documents have already been recovered by the police officials from the co-accused Virender Singh, who has been granted bail vide order dated 04.06.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal.

Notice of motion.

**On behalf of the State/complainant**

On the asking of Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State. He prays for dismissal of the present petition stating that the petitioner is the son of owner of Kaleyer Motors and in collusion with the officials of car agency prepared and used forged documents to misrepresent the old car as new one.

3. Analysis

Be that as it may, upon due consideration of the submissions advanced and, in particular, taking note of the fact that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant FIR on the disclosure statement of Virender Singh who has been granted bail vide order dated 04.06.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal and vehicle in question and other documents have already been recovered by the police officials, this Court is of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not warranted at this stage.

In the light of above, this Court finds no cogent or compelling reason to deny bail to the petitioner, particularly when he has expressed *bona fide* intent, and has undertaken to join and cooperate with the investigation so as to enable timely submission of the final report by the Investigating Agency.

4. Relief:-

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to her joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by



the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

15.07.2025

kv

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>