



340

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37084-2025

Date of Decision:- 27.11.2025

Manpreet Singh and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Apurav Kamboj, Advocate for
Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No. 2.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed praying for quashing of FIR No.112 dated 28.03.2025 under Section 318(4), 338, 336(2), 340(2) of BNS at Police Station City Barnala, Annexure P1 as well as all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise dated 26.05.2025, Annexure P2.

2. The FIR in question was lodged by complainant-respondent No.2 and the investigation commenced thereon. In the meantime, both the parties entered into compromise and thus, the present petition is filed for quashing of FIR on the basis of the compromise, as continuation of these proceedings would be a futile exercise and an abuse of process of the Court and with further prayer that FIR in question and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom may be quashed in the interest of justice.

3. This Court vide order dated 16.07.2025 directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements, as contended before the Court, and the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was also



directed to send its report.

4. In pursuance to the same, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala has sent report dated 18.09.2025, wherein, it has been mentioned that neither of the parties appeared in the Court for recording their statements nor any request was made by parties for any future date. It has been submitted in the report that it seems that no compromise has been effected between the parties. Thereafter, on dated 28.10.2025, on request of learned counsel for the petitioners, one more opportunity was granted to the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording of their statements in support of compromise, for 11.11.2025. In pursuance of the order dated 28.10.2025, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala has sent its report dated 26.11.2025, wherein, it has been mentioned that the parties again did not come present for recording their statements and it seems that they are not ready and willing to compromise the matter and it was again mentioned in the report that it seems that no compromise has been effected between the parties.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid fact, it is apparent that the parties did not appear before the learned trial Court to record their statements in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, even after granting them two opportunities. Even today no one has appeared on behalf of respondent No. 2. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court does not find any ground to quash the present FIR and hence, petition filed by petitioners stands dismissed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.11.2025

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No