



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

274-A

CR-4880-2023 (O&M)

Decided on:28.01.2025

Rohini Manrai and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

Nitin Manrai

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 14.03.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner in CR-6120-2023
and for the respondents in CR-4880-2023 and
CR-4888-2023.*

*None for the petitioner(s) in CR-4880-2023
and CR-4888-2023.*

*The revision petition i.e. CR-6120-2023 has
been filed against the order dated 11.07.2023 (Annexure P-
1) passed by the learned Family Court, Patiala under
Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.*

*Apparently, appeal can be filed and revision
is not maintainable in view of the judgment passed by the
Division Bench of this Court in case FAO No. 5930 of 2023
titled as "Rajni Vs. Mohit", decided on 24.01.2024.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time
to go through the judgment.*

Adjourned to 19.03.2024.

*Registry is directed to inform the opposite
counsel for the date fixed.*

*A photocopy of this order be placed on the
files of other connected cases.*

14.03.2024”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the law laid down in the abovesaid judgment and also the fact that the impugned order has been passed by the Family Court, he seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file an appeal, in accordance with law.

3. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

28.01.2025		(VIKAS BAHL)
<i>Mehak</i>	<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	JUDGE
	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
		<i>Yes/No</i>