



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4105 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Order:24.01.2025

Abhishek Narula

.Petitioner

Versus

Savita

..Respondent

CR No.376 of 2025 (O&M)

Abhishek Narula

.Petitioner

Versus

Savita Kumari

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Abhishek Narula, petitioner in person
(through V.C.)

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petitioner appears virtually along with his father, who appears in person. On account of marital discord between the petitioner and his wife, proceeding under Section 12 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is pending before the Family Court. The petitioner filed an application for deposit of diet money to summon witnesses from different hotels where the parties stayed and the employment details of the respondent. The court dismissed the application on the ground that the petitioner failed to show any specific fact or incident which is relevant to decide the petition under Section 12.

2. The impugned order was passed on 14.09.2022. The petitioner claims that the aforesaid witnesses are relevant witnesses necessary for



adjudicating the petition under Section 12.

3. If that be so, let the petitioner file fresh application disclosing the relevant details of the witnesses who are sought to be examined. If such application is filed, the same shall be decided by the Court uninfluenced by the previous order passed on 14.09.2022.

4. The petitioner also claims that he shall be allowed to appear through his father, who holds Special Power of Attorney. He prays for clarification of order passed on 13.11.2022.

5. This court on a particular date of hearing had in the facts and circumstances at that time refused the petitioner's father to represent him, however, that does not affect the validity of the Special Power of Attorney. In any case, this matter can be independently examined by the Family Court uninfluenced by the order dated 13.11.2022.

CR No.376 of 2025

6. The petitioner prays for re-call of the order imposing costs of Rs.25,000/-.

7. The petitioner, if so advised, may file an application before the Family Court for re-call of this order.

8. With these observations, the revision petitions are disposed of.

9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

January 24, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No