



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CWP-20057-2024 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 27.01.2025

Jagpal Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate,
and Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Balhara, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondent Nos.5 to 7 and 12 to 14.

Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.22 and 23.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to consider their case for promotion and other service benefits in terms of judgment dated 27.05.2024 passed in *LPA-1263-2016, State of Haryana and others vs. Kuldeep Singh (D) through L.Rs.*

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that claim of petitioners stands adjudicated vide judgment dated 27.05.2024 passed by a Division Bench

of this Court in LPA-402-2016. The respondent-State has preferred Special
Shivani Gupta
2025.01.28 14:56



Leave Petition i.e. SLP Diary No.40820 of 2024 before Supreme Court assailing judgment dated 27.05.2024 passed by this Court. The petitioners have preferred fresh petition on the ground that their juniors have been promoted. The respondents are not taking care of their claim as SLP is pending before the Supreme Court.

- 3. In view of the fact that Supreme Court is seized of the matter, this Court cannot issue any direction especially in view of the fact that Supreme Court has asked this Court not to proceed in the contempt cases, if any, initiated against the State.
- 4. Disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to move an appropriate application, if cause survives.
- 5. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

27.01.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No