



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-37904-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2025

Sumit @ Monu and another

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioners (Through V.C.).

Mr.Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed for regular bail under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.103 dated 10.04.2025, under Sections 110, 115, 126, 351(3), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 , registered at Police Station Badli, District Jhajjar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with co-accused has caused injuries to the complainant. Although, the injury on the head of the complainant has been declared to be simple in nature, but the challan has been presented under Section 110 of the BNS. He further submits that the petitioners are in custody for 02 month and 25 days and are not involved in any other case of similar nature.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioners. He has filed the custody certificate



in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioners are in custody for 02 months and 25 days. He, upon instructions, submits that although challan has been presented but charges are yet to be framed.

4. Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his power of attorney which is taken on record. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has also opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioners on the ground that serious injuries were caused to the complainant.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel and the fact that the petitioners are in custody for the last about 02 months and 25 days, they are not involved in any other case of similar nature and the trial is likely to take a long time as charges are yet to be framed, therefore, the continuous detention of the petitioners would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioners misuse the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of their bail.

9. Pending application, if any, shall also stands disposed of.

July 25, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No