



**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21921-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24-02-2025**

LUCKY GARG AND ANR.

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DC CUM DISTT. MAGISTRATE MANSA AND ORS.

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Rana Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties submits that after the passing of the impugned order dated 29.09.2021 (Annexure P-3), a compromise was entered between the parties on 30.11.2022 according to which, the petitioner No.2 along with her husband were supposed to live on the first-floor and the father i.e. senior citizen with the other handicapped son were to live on the ground floor.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner No.2 submits that she has no problem to comply with the said compromise especially when her husband i.e. petitioner No.1 has unfortunately died.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.3-Ram Lal submits that the petitioner No.2 be directed to comply with the said compromise and in case, she complies with the said compromise, respondent No.3-Ram Lal will not get the impugned order dated 29.09.2021 passed in his favour executed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the agreement dated 30.11.2022 will be adhered to and the senior citizen along with the handicapped son will be allowed to live on the ground-floor.

5. Keeping in view the compromise agreement between the parties, no further order is required to be passed. Liberty is given to either of the parties for reviving the writ petition in case, the agreement dated 30.11.2022 is not complied with by either of the parties.

6. Present petition is disposed of in above terms.

7. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

24-02-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO