



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

**CRM-M No.39019 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision :15.09.2025**

Parvesh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr.Rajveer Singh Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Abhishek Chha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Verma, AAG, Haryana.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

Learned State Counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samalkha, Panipat, on behalf of respondent. The same be taken on record.

2. The reply shows that the trial in this case has already been concluded and the petitioner has been acquitted.

3. In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition, as it has become infructuous. Hence, the present petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn, being infructuous.

4. A perusal of above mentioned reply further shows that during the pendency of present petition, the benefit of bail was afforded to the petitioner by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat by virtue of order dated 24.07.2025. It is very strange that when a petition for bail was pending before this Court, the petitioner moved an application for bail before the Court of



learned Additional Sessions Judge also. Let a report be sought from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, as to whether it was brought to the knowledge of the Court that a petition before this Court is already pending. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, is also requested to report as to whether any affidavit was furnished to the effect that any other bail application on behalf of petitioner is pending or not.

5. The report be submitted within 15 days.

Registry is directed to list the matter as and when report is received.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.09.2025
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No