



CWP-19930-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CWP-19930-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision :02.09.2025

Waryam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Mehtab Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Pal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that vide order dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure P/1) the monthly pension to the tune of Rs.15,000/- which was being drawn by the petitioner under the Media Person Scheme has been ordered to be discontinued in pursuance of the illegal condition mentioned at Clause-5 in Notification dated 14.11.2023, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per Clause-VII of the Notification dated 14.11.2023 (Annexure P/2) issued by the Government of Haryana, the State Government will be within its jurisdiction to decide the claim whether the petitioner who is getting pension under the Media Person Pension Scheme due to his own service can be denied the same only on the ground that after the death of his wife, he is also getting the benefit of family pension and hence, the



CWP-19930-2025 (O&M)

-2-

petitioner intends to approach the respondents by way of filing a representation and prays that the respondents be directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Anu Pal, Addl. A. G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that in case any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be looked into and it will be decided whether the benefit of two pensions can be granted to a Journalist and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation and in case it is found feasible, the benefit will be granted otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed which order will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

6 Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 02, 2025

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No